arXiv:hep-th/9511184v1 26 Nov 1995

SISSA Ref. 159/95/EP
PREPRINT

THE LAX PAIR BY DIMENSIONAL REDUCTION OF CHERN-SIMONS GAUGE THEORY

O.K.Pashaev *

International School for Advanced Studies (SISSA/ISAS)
Via Beirut 2, 34014 Trieste
Italy.

ABSTRACT

We show that the Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation and the related Lax pair in 1+1 dimensions can be derived from 2+1 dimensional Chern-Simons Topological Gauge Theory. The spectral parameter, a main object for the Loop algebra structure and the Inverse Spectral Transform, has appear as a homogeneous part (condensate) of the statistical gauge field, connected with the compactified extra space coordinate. In terms of solitons, a natural interpretation for the one-dimensional analog of Chern-Simons Gauss law is given.

November 1995

---

* Permanent address: Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna 141980, Russian Federation. E-mail: pashaev@main1.jinr.dubna.su

0 Introduction

As well known, it was Kaluza and Klein idea for unification of gravitation and electromagnetism in a 5 dimensional theory of gravity [1]. Then, the idea was developed in the context of dual models and the string theory, consistently quantized only in 10 and 26 space-time dimensions [2]. The significant attempt in the higher dimensional interpretation of internal symmetries was connected with a special kind of the compact space like the Calabi- Yau space. Hence, the ordinary 4-dimensional physics, completely defined by the massless modes, turns out to be described as a low energy approximation of a bigger theory.

An important aspect of the problem consists an intimate relation between the dimensional reduction procedure and the nonlinear models. In fact, if the linear modes in the theory always trivially decouple, the dimensional reduction of nonlinear models, in general, is not trivial. Thus, the Yang-Mills theory in 4+N dimensions leads, via dimensional reduction, to a Yang-Mills + Higgs scalars coupled theory with specific couplings [3].

In this context we can imagine that the integrability of some nonlinear models can be related to the dimensional reduction procedure. This guess is indicated by a "folk theorem" that dimensional reduction from higher dimensions enlarges the symmetry G to its affine extension [4][5]. Then, some infinite-dimensional symmetries, appearing as the hidden symmetries of integrable models, shall have a geometrical meaning.

Moreover, by dimensional reduction, many 0+1 and 1+1 dimensional models were embedded to the self-dual Yang-Mills (SDYM) equations [6]. These equations are an integrable system admitting the linear representation, or the Lax pair [7]. By suitable reduction, the Lax pair associated with corresponding low dimensional model has appeared from the Lax pair for SDYM. Furthermore, one believes even that the self-dual Yang-Mills equations are a universal integrable system from which all the others could be obtained by proper reductions [6]. This programme, still being intensively studied, is closely connected with the twistor description and requires that there should be a linear system for equations of the zero-curvature type (the Lax pair). However, the origin of the linear system remains a *terra incognita*. As well, as the most mysterious part of the linear problem - the spectral parameter. A time independence of the spectral parameter usually is connected with an infinite number of integrals of motion, while the integrable dynamics is produced by the isospectral deformations. From the algebraic point of view, the presence of spectral parameter in the linear problem with Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$, announces the appearance of enlarged, loop algebra structure $\mathcal{G} \times C[\lambda, \lambda^{-1}]$, associated with hidden non-Abelian symmetry of the model.

Another important point is that the spectral parameter is present in the linear problem and absent in the related evolution equation. Since the last one arises from zero-curvature condition (ZCC) for the associated flat connections, it suggests a gauge-theoretical formulation of this phenomena. According to this observation we expect the existence of non-Abelian gauge theory, which includes the spectral parameter as a gauge degree of freedom. Hence, the isospectral deformation defined by nonlinear evolution equation should appear as a gauge invariant condition.

Thus, we are looking for non-Abelian gauge theory with symmetries not less than integrable one. That means the existence of an infinite number of integrals of motion and related hierarchy of different time evolutions. Usually, in the high energy physics, the unification procedure means an embedding to a larger symmetry group. Naturally, we can suppose that the unified model could be some type of the Conformal Field Theory. In fact, some integrable models after proper limiting procedure show conformal properties (Faddeev's approach)[8]. In opposite way, a proper breaking of the conformal invariance leads to an integrable model (Zamolodchikov's approach)[9]. Thus, the existence of an infinite number of conservation laws is some relict of conformal symmetry. However, as we know, a different type of integrability property exists: $C-$ and S integrability, Darboux integrability [10]. And some of them are very strict. Actually, the Liouville equation is conformal invariant, Darboux integrable and C - integrable. But the affine Liouville [11] and the nonlinear $\sigma-$ model, being conformal invariant, seems as Darboux non-integrable [12]. Moreover, various integrable models also in three and four dimensions exist.

Apparently most drastic possibilities for unification provide the Topological Field Theory (TFT) [13]. As well known [14], TFT admits a huge diffeomorphism symmetry, which realized by gauge transformations. Resulting reparametrization invariance of the model leads to the trivial dynamics, frozen in the reparametrization of gauge (unphysical) parameters.

As it was shown, the dimensional reduction idea is very useful in the TFT [15]. Thus, the 3-dimensional gauge field theoretical formulation of TFT in the Chern-Simons (CS) form [16] can be dimensionally reduced from the 4 -dimensional TFT, which close relates to the self-dual Yang-Mills instantons and the Donaldson theory. Moreover, by dimensional reduction, the conformal field theory in 2- dimensions was obtained [17]. A general reduction of CS theory leads to 2-dimensional TFT, known as the BF theory [18]. Peculiar property of 2-dimensional BF theory is that equations of motion have a zero-curvature form. Conformal field theory in the Liouville form and the Toda theory has been related also to BF theory [19]. Futhermore, the two linear gravities - based on the de Sitter group or a central extension of the Poincare group - were derived from 3-dimensional TFT [20].

These results suggest that TFT could be a good candidate for the universal model, properly reducing of which conformal invariant and integrable systems can be obtained. The main problem arises how to break topological symmetries. If we start with 2-dimensional BF theory, obviously we can reduce field connections to the form, related to specific integrable model. But it means, that we need to put "by hand" the spectral parameter dependence of connections. Then, the gauge group for the BF theory should be some kind of the loop group. Hence, the loop structure of the problem suggests that we can try to do dimensional reduction of the model starting from 3-dimensional CS theory. The question now only is how constraint the model to have an integrable 2-dimensional system.

From another hand, for nonlinear σ models some constraint equations naturally arise. The idea, inspired by the gauge relation between one dimensional integrable models, is to use variables from the tangent space to the nonlinear manifold [21]. By this approach, some evolution $\sigma-$ models like the Heisenberg Model (HM) and the Topological Magnet, are reformulated as the U(1) gauge invariant field theory [22-24]. A mapping of the model to 3-dimensional zero-curvature condition (or to the CS theory) implies that the field connection should satisfy to proper constraint. In contrast with time reparametrization invariance of CS theory, the reduced system evolves according to related σ model. For integrable evolution [23] it means a breaking of continual, time reparametrization symmetry of TFT up to discrete time hierarchy of integrable models.

In the present paper we show that 2+1 dimensional HM, considered as a constraint for CS theory, provides by dimensional reduction not only integrable model, the Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation (NLSE), but also the corresponding Lax pair. The spectral parameter appears automatically in a correct way and has the meaning of homogeneous (condensate) part for the statistical gauge field, related with the extra space dimension. Moreover, non-homogeneous structure of the field is related to the Bäcklund transformations for NLSE.

We speculate that situation could have the general meaning and is applicable to other integrable models. In fact, all what we need are constraints for CS theory, arising from nonlinear σ model. Then, after dimensional reduction, an integrable model and the related Lax pair with proper graded structure should appear automatically. Our result suggests that while the Lax pair depends on additional spectral parameter, which is remnant of the three-dimensional theory, the reduced Nonlinear Evolution Equation is gauge invariant and independs of that parameter. Apparently this idea helps to clarify another well known "folk theorem": a standard way to construct integrable models in 2+1 dimensions is to start with the Lax pair for a 1+1 dimensional integrable model, and then replace the spectral parameter by a differential operator in extra direction. For example, this gives the Lax pair for the Kadomtsev- Petviashvili (KP) equation from the linear system for the KdV equation. The theorem indicates to another interpretaion of the spectral parameter as the canonically conjugate (momentum) variable to the extra space coordinate. It seems that after quantization the relation between two ideas will be more transparent and 1+1 and 2+1 dimensional integrable models could be related to different (coordinate - momentum) representations of TFT. Worthe to note also on possibility to track connection between quantum exactly soluble TFT and integrable models in the spirit of [25].

Perhaps most ambitious programm is to obtain the Lax pair for the SDYM from TFT in higher then 4 dimensions. However, up to now only known TFT that can be defined in arbitrary dimensions is BF theory.

In Sec.I, we present the general formalism of constructing the gauge invariant field theory, associated with the nonlinear σ model. Sec.II describes the related formulation of the non-Abelian CS theory. In Sec.III, we illustrait the general formalism with two important examples. Dimensional reduction for 2+1 HM will be considered in Sect.IV. In Conclusion we discuss some physical ideas to explain our results. The one-dimensional analog of CS Gauss law we interpret in terms of solitons for integrable models.

1.Adjoint Representation of ZCC

In this section we present a general formalism connecting a zero curvature equations on A_1 algebra ($SU(2)$ or any non-compact version of it) in the adjoint representation with moving trihedral [26]. This formalism allows us formulate a nonlinear σ model as the Abelian gauge field theory.

Let us consider the group A_1 with element g, generated by $\tau_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$, satisfying

$$\tau_i \tau_j = h_{ij} + i c_{ijk} \tau_k, \tag{1.1}$$

where h_{ij} and c_{ijk} are the Killing metric and structure constants of A_1. We define an orthonormal trihedral set of unit vectors $\mathbf{n}_i$ and $\mathbf{e}_i$, and matrices N_i and E_i correspondingly, in the adjoint representation

$$N_i = (\mathbf{n}_i, \tau) = \mathbf{n}_i^k \tau_k = h_{kl} \mathbf{n}_i^k \tau^l = g \tau_i g^{-1}, \tag{1.2a}$$

$$E_i = (\mathbf{e}_i, \tau) = \mathbf{e}_i^k \tau_k = h_{kl} \mathbf{e}^k \tau^l = g^{-1} \tau_i g. \tag{1.2b}$$

Using (1.1), the orthonormality of the trihedral are expressed by relations

$$N_i N_j = h_{ij} + i c_{ijk} N_k, \tag{1.3a}$$

$$E_i E_j = h_{ij} + i c_{ijk} E_k. \tag{1.3b}$$

The Killing metric h_{ij} and structure constants $c_{ijk} = -c_{jik}$ defines the inner and cross products between three-vectors, transforming in the adjoint representation of A_1:

$$(\mathbf{n}_i \mathbf{n}_j) = h_{ij}, \tag{1.4a}$$

$$\mathbf{n}_i \wedge \mathbf{n}_j = c_{ijk} \mathbf{n}_k, \tag{1.4b}$$

(and the similar equations for $\mathbf{e}_i$ vectors). Matrices N_i and E_i are connected by the similarity transformation

$$N_i = g^2 E_i g^{-2}, \tag{1.5}$$

while related $\mathbf{n}_i$ and $\mathbf{e}_i$ vectors satisfy

$$(\mathbf{n}_i)^j h_{jj} = (\mathbf{e}_j)^i h_{ii}, \tag{1.6}$$

(no summation). Due to this relation, in the present paper we restrict ourselves only with $\mathbf{n}_i$ vectors.

Let $\mathbf{n}_i = \mathbf{n}_i(x)$ are a smooth vector fields that define at each space coordinate $\mathbf{x} = (x^1, x^2, x^3)$ of M , the three vectors $(\mathbf{n}_1(x), \mathbf{n}_2(x), \mathbf{n}_3(x))$ forming an orthonormal basis called the moving frame.

We can introduce the left- and right-invariant chiral currents

$$J_\mu^R = g^{-1} \partial_\mu g, \tag{1.7a}$$

$$J_\mu^L = \partial_\mu g g^{-1}, \tag{1.7b}$$

$(\mu = 1, 2, 3)$. They are connected by simple transformation

$$J_\mu^R = g^{-1} J_\mu^L g. \tag{1.8}$$

The trihedral moves according to equations

$$\partial_\mu N_i = [J_\mu^L, N_i] = g[J_\mu^R, \tau_i] g^{-1}, \tag{1.9a}$$

$$\partial_\mu E_i = g^{-1} [\tau_i, J_\mu^L] g = [E_i, J_\mu^R], \tag{1.9b}$$

or in the three-dimensional representation

$$\partial_\mu N_i = (J^R_\mu)_{ik} N_k, \tag{1.10a}$$

$$\partial_\mu E_i = -(J^L_\mu)_{ik} E_k, \tag{1.10b}$$

where $(J^R_\mu)_{ik}$ and $(J^L_\mu)_{ik}$ are matrices in the adjoint representation

$$(J^{R,L}_\mu)_{ik} = -ic_{ijk}(J^{R,L}_\mu)_j = i(J^{R,L}_\mu)_j c_{jik}, \tag{1.11}$$

and $J^{R,L}_\mu = \sum (J^{R,L}_\mu)_j (1/2)\tau_j$. Related rotation of the moving frame is given by equations

$$\partial_\mu \mathbf{n}_i = (J^R_\mu)_{ik} \mathbf{n}_k,$$

$$\partial_\mu \mathbf{e}_i = -(J^L_\mu)_{ik} \mathbf{e}_k.$$

Matrices $J^{R,L}_\mu$ have the symmetry property

$$(J^{R,L}_\mu)_{ij} h_{jj} = -(J^{R,L}_\mu)_{ji} h_{ii}.$$

For $SU(2)$ case $h_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$, $c_{ijk} = \epsilon_{ijk}$ and the matrices (1.11) are anisymmetric.

The zero-curvature conditions for chiral currents (1.7) have the form

$$\partial_\mu J^R_\nu - \partial_\nu J^R_\mu + [J^R_\mu, J^R_\nu] = 0, \tag{1.12a}$$

$$\partial_\mu J^L_\nu - \partial_\nu J^L_\mu - [J^L_\mu, J^L_\nu] = 0. \tag{1.12b}$$

In the following discussion we concerned mainly on the J^R_μ matrix and skip the R - index.

Let us decompose matrix J_μ to the diagonal and off diagonal parts

$$J_\mu = J^{(0)}_\mu + J^{(1)}_\mu,$$

parametrized in the form

$$J^{(0)}_\mu = i/4\sigma_3 V_\mu, \tag{1.13a}$$

$$J^{(1)}_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\kappa^2 \bar{q}_\mu \\ q_\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.13b}$$

where $\kappa^2 = +1$ for $SU(2)$ and $\kappa^2 = -1$ for $SU(1,1)$case. Then, in the adjoint representation we have

$$(J_\mu)^{ad} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & V_\mu & 4Re(q_\mu) \\ -V_\mu & 0 & 4Im(q_\mu) \\ -4Re(q_\mu) & -4Im(q_\mu) & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.14}$$

The moving frame rotates with x variation according to equations

$$\partial_\mu \mathbf{n}_1 = -1/2 V_\mu \mathbf{n}_2 - 2(Re q_\mu)\mathbf{n}_3, \tag{1.15a}$$

$$\partial_\mu \mathbf{n}_2 = 1/2 V_\mu \mathbf{n}_1 - 2(Im q_\mu)\mathbf{n}_3, \tag{1.15b}$$

$$\partial_\mu \mathbf{n}_3 = 2(Re q_\mu)\mathbf{n}_1 + 2(Im q_\mu)\mathbf{n}_2. \tag{1.15c}$$

If we denote $U_\mu \equiv (Re(q_\mu), Im(q_\mu))$, the system can be written in a more compact form

$$\partial_\mu \mathbf{n}_i = -1/2 V_\mu \epsilon_{ij} \mathbf{n}_j - 2U_{i\mu}\mathbf{s}, \tag{1.16a}$$

$$\partial_\mu \mathbf{s} = 2U_{i\mu}\mathbf{n}_i. \tag{1.16b}$$

The vector $\mathbf{s} \equiv \mathbf{n}_3$ satisfies the constraint

$$(\mathbf{s}(x), \mathbf{s}(x)) = h_{33}, \tag{1.17}$$

where $h_{33} = 1$ for $SU(2)$ and $SU(1,1)$, (and $h_{33} = -1$ for $SL(2,R)$). It belongs to the two-dimensional sphere S^2 or pseudosphere $S^{1,1}$ correspondingly.

Fields V_μ and q_μ are given by projections

$$V_\mu = -2(\mathbf{n}_2, \partial_\mu \mathbf{n}_1), Re(q_\mu) = -1/2(\mathbf{s}, \partial_\mu \mathbf{n}_1), Im(q_\mu) = -1/2(\mathbf{s}, \partial_\mu \mathbf{n}_2). \tag{1.18}$$

Two vector fields $(\mathbf{n}_1(x), \mathbf{n}_2(x))$ at each $\mathbf{x}$ form a basis in the tangent space to corresponding manifold for $\mathbf{s}(x)$. But vectors $\mathbf{n}_1$ and $\mathbf{n}_2$ are not uniquely determined by eq.(1.4).

If we choose other $\mathbf{n}'_1, \mathbf{n}'_2$ as a rotated basis

$$\mathbf{n}'_1 = \cos\alpha \mathbf{n}_1 - \sin\alpha \mathbf{n}_2, \mathbf{n}'_2 = \cos\alpha \mathbf{n}_2 + \sin\alpha \mathbf{n}_1, \tag{1.18}$$

related fields V'_μ and q'_μ defined by (1.18) are the $U(1)$ gauge transformed fields

$$V'_\mu = V_\mu + 2\partial_\mu \alpha, q'_\mu = e^{i\alpha} q_\mu \tag{1.19}$$

Expression for q_μ field simplifies if we introduce a complex basis

$$\mathbf{n}_+ = \mathbf{n}_1 + i\mathbf{n}_2, \mathbf{n}_- = \mathbf{n}_1 - i\mathbf{n}_2, \tag{1.20}$$

satisfying to following relations

$$(\mathbf{n}_+, \mathbf{n}_+) = 0 = (\mathbf{n}_-, \mathbf{n}_-), \tag{1.20a}$$

$$(\mathbf{n}_+, \mathbf{n}_-) = 2, \tag{1.20b}$$

$$\mathbf{n}_+ \times \mathbf{s} = i\mathbf{n}_+, \mathbf{n}_- \times \mathbf{s} = -i\mathbf{n}_-, \mathbf{n}_- \times \mathbf{n}_+ = 2i\mathbf{s}. \tag{1.20c}$$

Then

$$q_\mu = 1/2(\partial_\mu \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{n}_+), \bar{q}_\mu = 1/2(\partial_\mu \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{n}_-). \tag{1.21}$$

In terms of (1.20) the moving frame equations (1.16) become

$$D_\mu \mathbf{n}_+ = -2q_\mu \mathbf{s}, \tag{1.22a}$$

$$\partial_\mu \mathbf{s} = q_\mu \mathbf{n}_- + \bar{q}_\mu \mathbf{n}_+, \tag{1.22b}$$

where $D_\mu \equiv \partial_\mu - i/2V_\mu$ is the covariant derivative.

This form is explicitly invariant under the local $U(1)$ gauge transformations

$$\mathbf{s} \to \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{n}_+ \to e^{i\alpha}\mathbf{n}_+, \mathbf{n}_- \to e^{-i\alpha}\mathbf{n}_-, \tag{1.23}$$

which are just the local rotations in the tangent to the vector $\mathbf{s}$ plane.

As follows from eqs.(1.22) fields V_μ and q_μ are subject to the system

$$D_\mu q_\nu = D_\mu q_\mu \qquad , \tag{1.24a}$$

$$[D_\mu, D_\nu] = -2(\bar{q}_\mu q_\nu - \bar{q}_\nu q_\mu). \tag{1.24b}$$

To describe a time evolution of three-dimensional physical system we need introduce the space-time $M_3 = T \times M_2$ decomposition, where T is associate with the time variable $x_3 = t$ and M_2 is a 2 - dimensional space manifold. In this case a time evolution of the moving frame

$$D_0 \mathbf{n}_+ = -2q_0 \mathbf{s}, \tag{1.25a}$$

$$\partial_0 \mathbf{s} = q_0 \mathbf{n}_- + \bar{q}_0 \mathbf{n}_+, \tag{1.25b}$$

is completely an arbitrary due to arbitrarines of q_0. We recall that q_0 as well as V_0 are Lagrange multipliers of the CS TFT appearing in front of the CS Gauss law of the theory (see eq.(2.12)). Moreover, Eq. (1.25b) shows that evolution of the spin vector $\mathbf{s}$ associated with CS TFT , being $U(1)$ gauge invariant, remains completely an arbitrary. In this sense the TFT are related to the nonlinear σ model with an arbitrary evolution (reparametrization invariance) or, what is the same, without any evolution, modulo $U(1)$ gauge transformations.

Formally we can represent eq.(1.25b) as the spin precession equation

$$\partial_0 \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{s} \times \mathbf{H} \tag{1.26}$$

in arbitrary $U(1)$ gauge invariant magnetic field

$$\mathbf{H} = i(\bar{q}_0\mathbf{n}_+ - q_0\mathbf{n}_-) \tag{1.27}$$

Nevertheless to above results a topological restriction on the possible spin configurations exists. Indeed, we can imagine that the space M_2 is compact. For example if we suppose that the value of the spin vector $\mathbf{s}$ at infinity is fixed $\mathbf{s} \to (0,0,1)$. Then, all smooth configurations describing mapping of (x_1, x_2) into $\mathbf{s}(x)$, independently of the evolution, are classified by the integer valued degree of mapping of $S^2 \to S^2$, or the topological charge:

$$Q = \frac{1}{8\pi}\int \epsilon_{ij}\mathbf{s}(\partial_i\mathbf{s} \times \partial_j\mathbf{s})d^2x = \frac{1}{8\pi}\int \epsilon_{ij}\partial_i V_j d^2x. \tag{1.28}$$

In terms of our gauge fields, the topological charge density has the form

$$\epsilon_{ij}\mathbf{s}(\partial_i\mathbf{s} \times \partial_j\mathbf{s}) = \epsilon_{ij}\partial_i V_j = B, \tag{1.29}$$

of the radial (along the $\mathbf{s}$) oriented magnetic field B associated with the vector potential V_j. As well known, eq.(1.28) states that the winding number of mapping $S^2 \to S^2$ coincides with the winding number of the mapping of the circle S^1 at $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \to \infty$ into the abelian gauge group manifold. It means that all $U(1)$ gauge transformations (1.19) also fall into topological classes characterized by winding number (1.28). Just substituting (1.19) in to (1.28) we find that under Abelian gauge transformations

$$V_j \to V_j + 2\partial_j\alpha, \tag{1.30}$$

Q transforms as

$$Q \to Q + \frac{1}{4\pi}\int \epsilon_{ij}\partial_i\partial_j\alpha d^2x. \tag{1.31}$$

For a smooth gauge transformation the second term vanishes and Q is invariant.

More generally, if M_2 is a compact Riemann surface of genus g , $M_2 = \Sigma_g$, the charge Q in (1.28) is the first Chern class c_1 , which is an integer [27].

However, if M_2 admits some singular points, Q could be an arbitrary number. Let us consider a potential V_j with charge Q. We perform a singular at $\mathbf{x} = 0$ rotation (1.31) $(\mathbf{n}_1, \mathbf{n}_2)$ with angle

$$\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = N\theta(\mathbf{x}), \tag{1.32}$$

where $\theta(\mathbf{x}) = \arctan(x_2/x_1)$. Then, using unconventional representation for the planar δ-function [28]

$$\epsilon_{ij}\partial_i\partial_j\theta = (\partial_1\partial_2 - \partial_2\partial_1)\theta = 2\pi\delta^2(x), \tag{1.33}$$

we find that

$$\Delta Q = N/2. \tag{1.33}$$

As evident, instead of integer N we can use an arbitrary real number which gives us arbitrary Q. This singular gauge transformation is related with a point vortex creation at $\mathbf{x} = 0$ and is described by an anyon potential

$$V_i^A = 2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = 2N\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\theta(\mathbf{x}) =$$

$$-2N\epsilon_{ij}\partial_j \ln|\mathbf{x}| = -2N\epsilon_{ij}\frac{x_j}{|\mathbf{x}|^2}. \tag{1.34}$$

In a more general situation, for n point vortices located at $\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_n$, with related strength $N_p (p = 1, \ldots, n)$, the vector potential

$$V_i^A(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_n) = -2\epsilon_{ij}\sum_{p=1}^{n} N_p \frac{(x^j - x_p^j)}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_p|^2} = -2\epsilon_{ij}\partial_j \sum_{p=1}^{n} N_p \ln|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_p|, \tag{1.35}$$

produces the vanishing almost everywhere magnetic field

$$B(\mathbf{x}) = \epsilon_{ij}\partial_i V_j = 4\pi \sum_{p=1}^{n} N_p \delta^2(x - x_p). \tag{1.36}$$

The corresponding charge changes as

$$\Delta Q = 1/2 \sum_{p=1}^{n} N_p. \tag{1.37}$$

2.Chern-Simons Gauge Theory reduction

In previous section we introduced the chiral fields J_μ(1.7) satisfying to the zero curvature condition (1.12). The last one in term of components (1.13) is described by the system (1.24). For fields V_μ and q_μ , subject to (1.24) , the moving frame can be reconstructed from eq.(1.16). Moreover, the current J_μ can be considered as non-Abelian pure gauge potential. Then the zero- curvature equations (1.12) are of the Lagrangian form for pure non-Abelian Chern-Simons functional.

The Cern-Simons action is defined as follows

$$S[J] = \frac{k}{4\pi}\int_M Tr(J \wedge dJ + \frac{2}{3} J \wedge J \wedge J), \tag{2.1}$$

where M is an oriented three-dimensional manifold, J is a gauge connection with values in the Lie algebra G. Action (2.1) is manifestly independent from the space metric, so it was interpreted by Witten as a general covariant theory, or topological field theory [1].

The classical equations of motion following from action (2.1) have the form

$$F = dJ + J \wedge J = 0 \tag{2.2}$$

of zero-curvature condition.

To adopt the canonical approach to the problem, one considers a region of the three-manifold to be isomorphic to $M_3 = T \times M_2$, where we interpret T as the time. Then, for the gauge field we have $J_\mu = (J_0, J_j)$, where J_0 is the time component and the action (2.1) takes the form

$$S(J) = -k/4\pi \int_\Sigma \int dt \epsilon^{ij} Tr(J_i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} J_j - J_0 F_{ij}), \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$F_{ij} = \partial_i J_j - \partial_j J_i + [J_i, J_j]. \tag{2.3a}$$

In the basis

$$T_a = \frac{1}{2}\tau_a, (a = 1, 2, 3), \tag{2.4a}$$

$$[T_a, T_b] = ic_{abc}T_c, \tag{2.4b}$$

with

$$Tr(T_a T_b) = \frac{1}{2}h_{ab}, \tag{2.4c}$$

(see eq.(1.1)) we have the Poisson brackets for components $J_\mu = \sum_{a=1}^{3}(J_\mu)_a T_a$:

$$\{J_i^a(x), J_j^b(y)\} = \frac{4\pi}{k}\epsilon_{ij} h^{ab} \delta^2(x - y). \tag{2.5}$$

Then, in terms of V_μ and q_μ fields

$$\{V_i(x), V_j(y)\} = -\frac{16\pi}{k}\epsilon_{ij} h_{33} \delta^2(x - y), \tag{2.6}$$

$$\{Re(q_i(x)), Re(q_j(y))\} = -\frac{\pi}{k}\epsilon_{ij} h_{11} \delta^2(x - y), \tag{2.7a}$$

$$\{Im(q_i(x)), Im(q_j(y))\} = -\frac{\pi}{k}\epsilon_{ij} h_{22} \delta^2(x - y). \tag{2.7b}$$

The last two relations have more appropriate form if we introduce new fields, (idea was inspired by the gauge relation between 1+ 1 dimensional NLSE and HM),

$$\psi_\pm = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}(q_1 \pm iq_2). \tag{2.8}$$

They are directly related with the complex structure on the manifold M_2 in terms of

$$z = x_1 + ix_2, \bar{z} = x_1 - ix_2. \tag{2.9}$$

The Poisson brackets for $\psi_\pm$ fields are

$$\{\psi_+(x), \bar{\psi}_+(y)\} = \frac{i}{2k}(h_{11} + h_{22})\delta^2(x - y), \tag{2.10a}$$

$$\{\psi_-(x), \bar{\psi}_-(y)\} = -\frac{i}{2k}(h_{11} + h_{22})\delta^2(x - y) \tag{2.10b}.$$

As evident, new fields defined by (2.8) are convenient only for $SU(2)$ and $SU(1,1)$ cases. For $SL(2,R)$ case brackets (2.10) are vanishing and more convenient to use other variables. We can rewrite the brackets in the compact form

$$\{V_i(x), V_j(y)\} = -\frac{16\pi}{k}\epsilon_{ij}\delta^2(x - y), \tag{2.11a}$$

$$\{\psi_+(x), \bar{\psi}_+(y)\} = \frac{i}{k}\kappa^2\delta^2(x - y), \tag{2.11b}$$

$$\{\psi_-(x), \bar{\psi}_-(y)\} = -\frac{i}{k}\kappa^2\delta^2(x - y), \tag{2.11c}$$

where $\kappa^2 = +1$ for $SU(2)$ and $\kappa^2 = -1$ for $SU(1,1)$.

The brackets (2.11) allow us interpret V_μ as an Abelian CS field (the statistical field) and ψ_+, ψ_- as charged matter fields [22,24].

The action in terms of this fields on the plane have the form

$$S = \int dt \int d^2x\{-\frac{k}{32\pi}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}V_\mu\partial_\nu V_\lambda +$$

$$\frac{ik}{2}[(\psi_+\bar{D}_0\bar{\psi}_+ - \bar{\psi}_+ D_0\psi_+) - (\psi_-\bar{D}_0\bar{\psi}_- - \bar{\psi}_- D_0\psi_-)]$$

$$-\frac{k}{2\pi}iq_0(\bar{D}_-\bar{\psi}_+ - \bar{D}_+\bar{\psi}_-) + \frac{k}{2\pi}iq_0(D_-\psi_+ - D_+\psi_-)\}, \tag{2.12}$$

where $D_\pm = D_1 \pm iD_2 = \partial_\pm - i/2V_\pm, V_\pm = V_1 \pm iV_2$. From (2.3) we recognize that the time components V_0 and q_0 of the gauge potential J_0 are the Lagrange multipliers, arbitrariness of which guaranties the gauge invariance (covariance) of the topological action.

Related constraints (2.3a) in components $F = \sum_{a=1}^{3} F_a T_a$ gen erate $SU(2)$ or $SU(1,1)$ algebra

$$\{G_a(\mathbf{x}), G_b(\mathbf{y})\} = c_{abc}G_c(\mathbf{x})\delta^2(x - y), \tag{2.13}$$

where rescaled constraints $G^a = -(ik/8\pi)F^a$ have the form

$$G_+ = G_1 + iG_2 = -\frac{k}{2\pi}(D_-\psi_+ - D_+\psi_-),$$

$$G_- = G_1 + iG_2 = -\frac{k}{2\pi}(\bar{D}_-\bar{\psi}_+ - \bar{D}_+\bar{\psi}_-), \tag{2.14}$$

$$G_3 = \frac{k}{8\pi}[(\partial_1 V_2 - \partial_2 V_1) + 8\pi(|\psi_+|^2 - |\psi_-|^2)].$$

The physical subspace of the TFT is defined by the constraint surface

$$G_\pm = 0, G_0 = 0,$$

and any breaking of the topological symmetry relates with a deviation from this surface. Constraints (2.14) form a part of the Euler-Lagrange equations for the action (2.12):

$$D_0\psi_\pm = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}D_\pm q_0, \tag{2.15a}$$

$$[D_+, D_-] = 8\pi\kappa^2(|\psi_+|^2 - |\psi_-|^2), \tag{2.15b}$$

$$[D_0, D_\pm] = -4\sqrt{\pi}\kappa^2(\bar{q}_0\psi_\pm - \bar{\psi}_\pm q_0), \tag{2.15c}$$

$$D_+\psi_- = D_-\psi_+. \tag{2.15d}$$

Solution of this equations defines the mooving frame according to

$$D_0\mathbf{n}_+ = -2q_0\mathbf{s} \qquad , \tag{2.16a}$$

$$\partial_0\mathbf{s} = q_0\mathbf{n}_- + \bar{q}_0\mathbf{n}_+, \tag{2.16b}$$

$$D_\pm\mathbf{n}_+ = -4\sqrt{\pi}\psi_\pm\mathbf{s}, \tag{2.16c}$$

$$\partial_\pm\mathbf{s} = 2\sqrt{\pi}(\psi_\pm\mathbf{n}_- + \bar{\psi}_\mp\mathbf{n}_+), \tag{2.16d}$$

where fields $V_0, V_\pm$ and $q_0, \psi_\pm$ are given by relations

$$V_0 = -i(\partial_0\mathbf{n}_+, \mathbf{n}_-), V_\pm = -i(\partial_\pm\mathbf{n}_+, \mathbf{n}_-), \tag{2.17a}$$

$$q_0 = 1/2(\partial_0\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{n}_+), \psi_\pm = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}}(\partial_\pm\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{n}_+). \tag{2.17b}$$

We note that the system (2.15), as well as (2.16), is invariant under conformal transformations

$$z' = f(z), \bar{z}' = \bar{f}(\bar{z})$$

$$V_- = f'V'_-, V_+ = \bar{f}'V'_+,$$

$$\psi_- = f'\psi'_-, \psi_+ = \bar{f}'\psi'_+.$$

At the end of this section we reproduce some useful formulas

$$(\partial_\pm \mathbf{s}, \partial_\pm \mathbf{s}) = 16\pi\psi_\pm\bar{\psi}_\mp, \tag{2.18}$$

$$(\partial_+ \mathbf{s}, \partial_- \mathbf{s}) = 8\pi(|\psi_+|^2 + |\psi_-|^2), \tag{2.19}$$

$$\partial_+ \mathbf{s} \times \partial_- \mathbf{s} = 8i\pi(|\psi_+|^2 - |\psi_-|^2). \tag{2.20}$$

3. Nonlinear σ - Model. Examples

In this section we describe some simple 2 - dimensional models in the formalism of Sec.1. The first model is conformal invariant, while the second one is just integrable. In both cases time evolution is defined by the Lagrange multipliers q_0, V_0 and has an arbitrary character. Imposing equations of motion for the model as constraints on the field variables we restrict the phase space of CS TFT.

3.1.**2+0 dimensional σ model**

As a first simple example we consider euclidean nonlinear σ model for the classical spin vector **s**

$$\partial_+\partial_- \mathbf{s} + (\partial_+ \mathbf{s}, \partial_- \mathbf{s})\mathbf{s} = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

The model is conformal invariant. This fact guarantees that the conformal invariance of the CS TFT (2.12), supplied with eq.(3.1) will be preserved.

Due to eqs.(2.16dc-d), (2.19) and relation

$$\partial_+\partial_- \mathbf{s} = 2\sqrt{\pi}[(D_-\psi_+)\mathbf{n}_- + (\bar{D}_-\bar{\psi}_+)\mathbf{n}_+) - 8\pi(|\psi_+|^2 + |\psi_-|^2)\mathbf{s} \tag{3.2}$$

the moving frame (2.16) and the field equations (2.15), consistent with eq.(3.1), should be supplied with additional constraints

$$D_-\psi_+ = D_+\psi_- = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

The resulting system (2.15) decouples on the evolutionary part

$$D_0\psi_\pm = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} D_\pm q_0, \tag{3.4a}$$

$$[D_0, D_\pm] = -4\sqrt{\pi}\kappa^2(\bar{q}_0\psi_\pm - \bar{\psi}_\pm q_0), \tag{3.4b}$$

which contains an arbitrary Lagrange multipliers q_0, V_0 and the spatial part

$$D_-\psi_+ = D_+\psi_- = 0, \tag{3.5a}$$

$$[D_+, D_-] = 8\pi\kappa^2(|\psi_+|^2 - |\psi_-|^2). \tag{3.5b}$$

The last system (3.5) is completely equivalent to the σ model (3.1) and have a remarkable property. Most interesting for TFT may be that eqs.(3.5), known as the Hitchin equations, can be formulated on arbitrary Riemann surface.

The system (3.5)(in contrast to eqs.(3.4)) is invariant also under simple transformation

$$\psi_+ \to e^{i\gamma}\psi_+, \psi_- \to e^{-i\gamma}\psi_-,$$

$$V_\pm \to V_\pm, \tag{3.6}$$

where $\gamma =$ constant. This transformation for σ model is known as the Pohlmeyer's $R^{(\gamma)}$ - transformation [29]. It relates to a "hidden" symmetry of the model and generates an infinite set of non-local conservation laws. It seems not obvious as this symmetry acts in the CS TFT (2.12).

If we attempt to describe the symmetry transformation (3.6) as the global $U(1)$ gauge transformation (1.19), (1.23), we immediately obtain that one of the fields ψ_+, ψ_- should vanish. As a result, the system (3.5) reduces to the self-(anti)dual Chern-Simons equations [28]:

$$D_\pm\psi_\mp = 0 \qquad , \tag{3.7a}$$

$$[D_+, D_-] = \pm 8\pi\kappa^2|\psi_\mp|^2, \tag{3.7b}$$

related with the Liouville equation. The instantons (topological solitons) of the model (3.1) correspond to the Chern-Simons solitons of the model (3.7) [22], while the topological charge (1.28) becomes of the electric charge form

$$Q_\pm = \pm \int |\psi_\pm|^2 d^2x.$$

Solutions other than solitons, when both ψ_+ and $\psi_- \neq 0$, are described by the conformal Sinh-Gordon equation [22] (Toda hierarchy) reduced from(3.5). It is worth to note that both of the systems (3.5) and (3.7) is conformal and R invariant. However, only the self-dual system (3.7) admits the Pohlmeyer's symmetry (3.6), as a gauge symmetry. This fact intimately relates with the Darboux integrability of the Liouville, but not the Conformal Sinh-Gordon equation. Moreover, we expect that it is connected also with special properties of the CS action, admitting diffeomorphism invariance as gauge invariance.

3.2 **(1+1) - Heisenberg model**

If in the previous case we considered the conformal invariant integrable model, now we like to break the conformal invariance, but preserve integrability. This is the well known classical continuous isotropic Heisenberg model, describing precession of the spin **s** according to the Landau-Lifshitz equation

$$\partial_0 \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{s} \times \partial_j \partial^j \mathbf{s}, \tag{3.8}$$

where **s** belongs to the 2-dimensional sphere S^2 (or pseudosphere $S^{1,1}$).

In this section we examine only 1+1 dimensional case (2+ 1 dimensional model is considered in Sect.4). We will treat here both coordinates as the space coordinates. Then the model

$$\partial_1 \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{s} \times \partial_2^2 \mathbf{s} \tag{3.9}$$

is some kind of the 2-dimensional σ model.

Substituting

$$\partial_1 \mathbf{s} = q_1 \mathbf{n}_- + \bar{q}_1 \mathbf{n}_+, \tag{3.10a}$$

$$\partial_2^2 \mathbf{s} = D_2 \mathbf{n}_- + \bar{D}_2 \mathbf{n}_+ - 4|q_2|^2 \mathbf{s}, \tag{3.10b}$$

to eq.(3.9) we find the constraint between components

$$q_1 = i D_2 q_2, \tag{3.11}$$

where the covariant derivative $D_2 = \partial_2 - \frac{i}{2} V_2$. Equation (3.11) allows us exclude q_1 field from equations.

The moving frame equations (1.22) now read

$$D_1 \mathbf{n}_+ = -2\mathrm{i}\mathrm{D}_2 q_2 \mathbf{s}, \tag{3.12a}$$

$$D_2 \mathbf{n}_+ = -2 q_2 \mathbf{s}, \tag{3.12b}$$

$$\partial_1 \mathbf{s} = i D_2 q_2 \mathbf{n}_- - i \bar{D}_2 \bar{q}_2 \mathbf{n}_+, \tag{3.12c}$$

$$\partial_2 \mathbf{s} = q_2 \mathbf{n}_- + \bar{q}_2 \mathbf{n}_+, \tag{3.12d}$$

while the field equations are

$$iD_1 q_2 + D_2^2 q_2 = 0, \tag{3.13a}$$

$$\partial_1 V_2 - \partial_2 V_1 = -4\kappa^2 \partial_2 |q_2|^2. \tag{3.13b}$$

In terms of redefined fields

$$A_1 = V_1 + 4\kappa^2 |q_2|^2, A_2 = V_2, \tag{3.14}$$

(3.13) becomes

$$i(\partial_1 - \frac{i}{2}A_1)q_2 + (\partial_2 - \frac{i}{2}A_2)^2 q_2 - 2\kappa^2 |q_2|^2 q_2 = 0, \tag{3.15a}$$

$$\partial_1 A_2 - \partial_2 A_1 = 0. \tag{3.15b}$$

The second equation allows one exclude the potentials A_1 and A_2 by the $U(1)$ gauge transformation. If $A_j = 2\partial_j \lambda$ we define new $\Phi = qe^{i\lambda}$, subject to the Nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_1 \Phi + \partial_2^2 \Phi - 2\kappa^2 |\Phi|^2 \Phi = 0. \tag{3.16}$$

As well known, this equation is integrable and admits an infinite number of conservation laws, interpreted as continuity equations in our case.

The topological charge (1.28) appears now as

$$Q = -\kappa^2 \frac{2}{\pi} \int d^2 x \partial_2 |\Phi|^2 = -\kappa^2 \frac{2}{\pi} \int dx_1 (|\Phi(x_1, x_2 = L_+)|^2 - |\Phi(x_1, x_2 = L_-)|^2),$$

where $L_\pm$ are the boundary values in the second space direction. The usual evolution form for NLSE, when $x_1 = t, x_2 = x$ gives the meaning of

$$Q = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \mathbf{s} \partial_t \mathbf{s} \times \partial_x \mathbf{s} dt dx = -\kappa^2 \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{x=C} dt |\Phi(x,t)|^2$$

as a 1 dimensional Wess-Zumino term. It turns out that well known soliton solutions on infinite space line (the plane for M_2) and periodic solutions on the finite interval (the cylinder for M_2) always have vanishing Q. Non-vanishing contribution should appear for the compact on (x, t) boundary condition (the Riemann surface for M_2).

Worth to note that integrability of models (3.9) and (3.16) is connected with the Lax pair representation or ZCC with an arbitrary spectral parameter. This loop algebra structure provides nonlocal conserved quantities generating non-Abelian algebra for the NLSE [30]. They arise as a hidden non-Abelian structure of the model (3.16). We understand now the geometrical meaning of this structure, since the model phase space can be considered as the tangent plane to 2-dimensional manifold, being sphere for $\kappa^2 = 1$ and pseudosphere for $\kappa^2 = -1$. Moreover, in the next section we show that the spectral parameter has origin from the extra space direction.

4. **Dimensional Reduction of 2+1 HM**

From the action (2.3) or (2.12) we conclude that evolution of the model is completely defined by Lagrange multipliers. Usually, to fix gauge freedom one uses the Hamiltonian gauge, when

$$q_0 = 0, V_0 = 0. \tag{4.1}$$

Thus, all considered field configurations are static. In this case reparametrization invariance of the theory corresponds to an arbitrary time dependence for parameters of the moduli space. But if we like study an integrable deformations of the topological symmetry we need consider more restricted gauge conditions, providing integrable dynamics.

In the present section we like to choose a different gauge condition. Since evolution equation for σ models in tangent space reduces to constraints on the phase space variables we can choose this constraints as a new gauge conditions. Then, the resulting CS theory should have the corresponding time evolution [22-24].

We consider the HM (3.8) in 2+1 dimensions,

$$\partial_0 \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{s} \times (\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\mathbf{s}, \tag{4.1}$$

with $(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{s}) = 1$.

From the mooving frame equations (1.22) we conclude that eq.(4.1) leads to the constraint on q_0 :

$$q_0 = iD_1 q_1 + iD_2 q_2 \tag{4.2}$$

This relation allows one exclude q_0 from the system (1.22) and we have

$$D_0 \mathbf{n}_+ = -2i(D_k q_k)\mathbf{s}, \tag{4.3a}$$

$$D_k \mathbf{n}_+ = -2q_k \mathbf{s}, \tag{4.3b}$$

$$\partial_0 \mathbf{s} = i(D_k q_k)\mathbf{n}_- - i(\bar{D}_k \bar{q}_k)\mathbf{n}_+, \tag{4.3c}$$

$$\partial_k \mathbf{s} = q_k \mathbf{n}_- + \bar{q}_k \mathbf{n}_+, (k = 1, 2). \tag{4.3d}$$

Remaining field variables should satisfy to the system

$$iD_0 q_k + D_k D_l q_l = 0, \tag{4.4a}$$

$$D_k q_l = D_l q_k, (k, l = 1, 2), \tag{4.4b}$$

$$[D_k, D_l] = -2\kappa^2(\bar{q}_k q_l - q_k \bar{q}_l), \tag{4.4c}$$

$$[D_0, D_k] = 2i\kappa^2(q_k \bar{D}_l \bar{q}_l + \bar{q}_k D_l q_l). \tag{4.4d}$$

In terms of complex fields (2.8)

$$\psi_\pm = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}(q_1 \pm iq_2), \tag{4.5}$$

we have for the moving frame

$$D_0 \mathbf{n}_+ = -4i\sqrt{\pi}(D_- \psi_+)\mathbf{s} + 4i\pi\kappa^2(|\psi_+|^2 + |\psi_-|^2)\mathbf{n}_+, \tag{4.6a}$$

$$D_\pm \mathbf{n}_+ = -4\sqrt{\pi}\psi_\pm \mathbf{s}, \tag{4.6b}$$

$$\partial_0 \mathbf{s} = 2i\sqrt{\pi}((D_- \psi_+)\mathbf{n}_- - (\bar{D}_- \bar{\psi}_+)\mathbf{n}_+), \tag{4.6c}$$

$$\partial_\pm \mathbf{s} = 2\sqrt{\pi}(\psi_\pm \mathbf{n}_- + \bar{\psi}_\mp \mathbf{n}_+) \tag{4.6d}$$

and for the field equations

$$iD_0 \psi_\pm + (D_1^2 + D_2^2)\psi_\pm + 8\pi\kappa^2|\psi_\pm|^2\psi_\pm = 0, \tag{4.7a}$$

$$D_- \psi_+ = D_+ \psi_- \tag{4.7b}$$

$$[D_+, D_-] = 8\pi\kappa^2(|\psi_+|^2 - |\psi_-|^2), \tag{4.7c}$$

$$[D_0, D_\pm] = 8i\pi\kappa^2(\bar{\psi}_\mp D_\pm \psi_\mp + \psi_\pm \bar{D}_\mp \bar{\psi}_\pm) - 4i\pi\kappa^2 \partial_\pm(|\psi_+|^2 + |\psi_-|^2). \tag{4.7d}$$

The covariant derivatives in the system (4.6) and (4.7) are defined as before (see eq.(2.12)):

$$D_\pm = \partial_\pm - \frac{i}{2}A_\pm, D_0 = \partial_0 - \frac{i}{2}A_0,$$

with $A_\pm = V_\pm$, but redefined

$$A_0 = V_0 - 8\pi\kappa^2(|\psi_+|^2 + |\psi_-|^2).$$

For the static field configurations, eq.(4.1) reduces to the σ model (3.1), considered before. Now we are going to perform a dimensional reduction of the model to have an integrable evolution system. Let $M = T \times R \times S$, where R is real line associated with the x_1 space coordinate and S is compactified on the circle second space coordinate x_2. As usual, for zero modes we are looking for equations independent of x_2,

$$iD_0\psi_\pm + [D_1^2 + (\frac{i}{2}A_2)^2]\psi_\pm + 8\pi\kappa^2|\psi_\pm|^2\psi_\pm = 0, \tag{4.10a}$$

$$(\partial_1 - \frac{i}{2}A_-)\psi_+ = (\partial_1 - \frac{i}{2}A_+)\psi_-, \tag{4.10b}$$

$$\partial_1 A_2 = -8\pi\kappa^2(|\psi_+|^2 - |\psi_-|^2), \tag{4.10c}$$

$$\partial_0 A_1 - \partial_1 A_0 = 8\pi\kappa^2 A_2(|\psi_+|^2 - |\psi_-|^2), \tag{4.10d}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_0 A_2 = -8i\pi\kappa^2[(\bar\psi_+\partial_1\psi_+ - \psi_+\partial_1\bar\psi_+) - (\bar\psi_-\partial_1\psi_- - \psi_-\partial_1\bar\psi_-)\\ -iA_1(|\psi_+|^2 - |\psi_-|^2)].\end{aligned} \tag{4.10e}$$

If instead of the potential A_0 we introduce

$$\mathcal{A}_0 = A_0 - \frac{1}{2}A_2^2, \mathcal{A}_1 = A_1, \mathcal{A}_2 = A_2, \tag{4.11}$$

equation (4.10d) becomes of the vanishing field strength form

$$\partial_0\mathcal{A}_1 - \partial_1\mathcal{A}_0 = 0, \tag{4.12d}$$

and for the rest equations we have

$$i\mathcal{D}_0\psi_\pm + \mathcal{D}_1^2\psi_\pm + 8\pi\kappa^2|\psi_\pm|^2\psi_\pm = 0, \tag{4.12a}$$

$$(\partial_1 - \frac{i}{2}\mathcal{A}_-)\psi_+ = (\partial_1 - \frac{i}{2}\mathcal{A}_+)\psi_-, \tag{4.12b}$$

$$\partial_1\mathcal{A}_2 = -8\pi\kappa^2(|\psi_+|^2 - |\psi_-|^2), \tag{4.12c}$$

$$\partial_0\mathcal{A}_2 = -8i\pi\kappa^2[(\bar\psi_+\mathcal{D}_1\psi_+ - \psi_+\bar{\mathcal{D}}_1\bar\psi_+) - (\bar\psi_-\mathcal{D}_1\psi_- - \psi_-\bar{\mathcal{D}}_1\bar\psi_-). \tag{4.12e}$$

Comparing eqs.(4.12a) and (4.12d) with gauged NLSE (3.15a-b) we recognize complete equivalence. Using the same as before procedure, we can compensate the gauge potentials via $U(1)$ rotation

$$\mathcal{A}_0 = 2\partial_0\lambda, \mathcal{A}_1 = 2\partial_1\lambda, \Phi_\pm = \psi_\pm e^{i\lambda}. \tag{4.13}$$

Thus, we find that both of the Φ_+, Φ_- fields is satisfy to the NLSE

$$i\partial_0\Phi_\pm + \partial_1^2\Phi_\pm + 8\pi\kappa^2|\Phi_\pm|^2\Phi_\pm = 0, \tag{4.14}$$

and to the set of relations connecting $\mathcal{A}_2$ with Φ_+, Φ_- fields

$$\partial_1\Phi_+ - \partial_1\Phi_- = 1/2\mathcal{A}_2(\Phi_+ + \Phi_-), \tag{4.15a}$$

$$\partial_1\mathcal{A}_2 = -8\pi\kappa^2(|\Phi_+|^2 - |\Phi_-|^2), \tag{4.15b}$$

$$\partial_0\mathcal{A}_2 = -8i\pi\kappa^2[(\bar{\Phi}_+\partial_1\Phi_+ - \Phi_+\partial_1\bar{\Phi}_+) - (\bar{\Phi}_-\partial_1\Phi_- - \Phi_-\partial_1\bar{\Phi}_-)]. \tag{4.15c}$$

The system (4.15) allows one define the $\mathcal{A}_2$ field in explicit form

$$\mathcal{A}_2 = \epsilon_\pm\sqrt{\alpha_0^2 - 16\pi\kappa^2|\Phi_+ - \Phi_-|^2}, \tag{4.16}$$

where α_0 is the integration constant, $\epsilon_\pm = \pm 1$. I can easily show that if both Φ_+ and Φ_- are solutions of the NLSE (4.14), then the evolution for $\mathcal{A}_2$ (4.16) is satisfied to eq.(4.15c).

But eq.(4.15a) with (4.16) are just the Bäcklund transformations for the NLSE (4.14). Thus, the surprising moment arising from (2+1) dimensional reduction is an interpretation of the Bäcklund transformation for NLSE in terms of the Abelian Chern-Simons gauge field, associated with the extra space coordinate x_2.

When $\Phi_+ = \Phi_-$ the $\mathcal{A}_2 = \epsilon_\pm\alpha_0 = const$. As we show immediately this constant have meaning of the spectral parameter. When $\Phi_+ \neq \Phi_-$, that means a soliton creation, $\mathcal{A}_2$ is inhomogeneuos function measuring the departure of Φ_+ from Φ_-.

To clarify the meaning of the homogeneous part α_0 we turn now to the chiral current (1.7a)

$$J_\mu = g^{-1}\partial_\mu g = \frac{i}{4}\sigma_3 V_\mu + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\kappa^2\bar{q}_\mu \\ q_\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.17}$$

We can carry out the $U(1)$ gauge transformation

$$g \to g e^{\frac{i}{4}\lambda\sigma_3}. \tag{4.18}$$

As a result we have

$$J_1 = \sqrt{\pi}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\kappa^2(\bar{\Phi}_+ + \bar{\Phi}_-) \\ \Phi_+ + \Phi_- & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$J_2 = \frac{i}{4}\sigma_3\mathcal{A}_2 - i\sqrt{\pi}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \kappa^2(\bar{\Phi}_+ - \bar{\Phi}_-) \\ \Phi_+ - \Phi_- & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$J_0 = \frac{i}{8}\sigma_3[\mathcal{A}_2^2 + 16\pi\kappa^2(|\Phi_+|^2 + |\Phi_-|^2)] + i\sqrt{\pi}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \kappa^2(\bar{\mathcal{D}}_-\bar{\Phi}_+ + \bar{\mathcal{D}}_+\bar{\Phi}_- \\ \mathcal{D}_-\Phi_+ + \mathcal{D}_+\Phi_- & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using (4.7b)

$$\mathcal{D}_-\Phi_+ = \mathcal{D}_+\Phi_-,$$

we can rewrite it as

$$J_+ = -\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3\mathcal{A}_2 + 2\sqrt{\pi}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\kappa^2\bar{\Phi}_- \\ \Phi_+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.19a}$$

$$J_0 = \frac{i}{8}\sigma_3[\mathcal{A}_2^2 + 16\pi\kappa^2(|\Phi_+|^2 + |\Phi_-|^2)] + 2i\sqrt{\pi}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \kappa^2\bar{\mathcal{D}}_+\bar{\Phi}_- \\ \mathcal{D}_-\Phi_+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.19b}$$

Now, let

$$\Phi_+ = \Phi_- \equiv \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}\Phi, \tag{4.20}$$

then, from (4.16)

$$\mathcal{A}_2 = \epsilon_\pm\alpha_0 \equiv 4\lambda_0. \tag{4.21}$$

As a result we have the well known Lax pair for NLSE

$$J_+ = -\lambda_0\sigma_3 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\kappa^2\bar{\Phi} \\ \Phi & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.22a}$$

$$J_0 = i\sigma_3[2\lambda_0^2 + \kappa^2|\Phi|^2] + i\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \kappa^2(\partial_1 + 2\lambda_0)\bar{\Phi} \\ (\partial_1 - 2\lambda_0)\Phi & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.22b}$$

The Lax pair for the 1+1 HM model (3.9) can be constructed from (4.22) by usual procedure of the gauge transformation, in terms of (1.2) trihedral N_3 [31,32].

It is clear now, that constant λ_0 has a meaning of the spectral parameter. Remarkable fact is that J_+ consists of two parts: J_1 part is independent of the spectral parameter and J_2 is completely defined in terms of it.

As known, in order to investigate the infrared properties of the theory, we can expand the gauge field $\mathcal{A}_2$ in a Fourier series and separate out the part which plays main role at long distances. This is the constant in space (x_1) term

$$\mathcal{A}_2 \cong 4\lambda_0(1 + \frac{\pi\kappa^2}{2\lambda_0^2}|\Phi_+ - \Phi_-|^2 + \ldots). \tag{4.23}$$

Thus we can interpret the spectral parameter as a condensat value for the Chern-Simons gauge field $\mathcal{A}_2$ associated with the extra dimension.

As we see, the Lax pair with the spectral parameter flow , defining all the miracles of soliton mathematics, has a simple interpretation in terms of an extra space direction and CS TFT.

Conclusion

In conclusion I like to emphasize some points. First, as shown above, the non-Abelian TFT (2.1) represented in the form (2.12) could be interpreted as the Abelian Chern-Simons gauge theory interacting with a doublet of matter fields [24]. Usually, the Abelian gauge field is called the "statistical field" since defines the anyonic statistics for matter fields. For more direct relation we need rescale the "matter" fields

$$\psi_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \Psi_{\pm},$$

to have normal canonical brackets (2.11). Then, the Chern-Simons Gauss law (2.15b) becomes

$$\partial_1 V_2 - \partial_2 V_1 = -\frac{8\pi\kappa^2}{k}(|\Psi_+|^2 - |\Psi_-|^2).$$

From this form we recognize that the coupling constant k for non-Abelian theory (2.1) coincides with the statistical parameter for fractional statistics. It means that in quantized (2.1) theory the mater fields could appear (after singular gauge transformation) as anyons [24].

The 1+1 dimensional reduction of the model (4.7) shows that two components $\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1$ of the statistical gauge field can be removed by gauge transformation. But component $\mathcal{A}_2$ (4.16) related to the extra space coordinate has a deep physical meaning. Thus, for infrared properties of the Chern-Simons theory (2.12) only the constant in space vector potential

$$A_i(x) = X_i(t) + ...$$

depending only of time, is relevant. Corresponding Chern-Simons term in (2.12)

$$\frac{k}{16\pi} \dot{\mathbf{X}} \times \mathbf{X},$$

has a simple physical interpretation. If we consider (X_1, X_2) as coordinates of the charged particle in the plane, and switch on the magnetic field orthogonal to the plane, the Lorentz force will arise. It connects two directions X_1, X_2 in such a way that energy from the first direction will flow to the second one. In our case it means that due to the Chern-Simons structure in the topological action (2.12), our 1+1 dimensional gauge theory (4.14),(4.15) continue to feel an extra space coordinate. But all dependence of the extra space coordinate is hidden in the spectral parameter (4.21). Of course the gauge invariant nonlinear equations (4.14) are independent of x_2 and λ_0.

Thus, the potential (4.16) generally includes two parts. Part with the spectral parameter is a constant, and has the meaning of the condensate for statistical gauge field.

While non-homogeneous part of $\mathcal{A}_2$ comes from the deviation between two solutions of the NLSE (4.14). We know that (4.15-16) is an elementary Bäcklund transformation for NLSE. If one of the fields is vanishing, it provides the one-soliton solution for the second one. Corresponding value of $\mathcal{A}_2$ we call the one-soliton gauge potential. This allows us to formulate a gauge invariance principle. The statistical gauge field is homogeneous, global defined field in the case (4.20). But when condition (4.20) is broken, that means a soliton is created, the gauge field $\mathcal{A}_2$ becomes a local function of coordinat x_1. Hence, we observe that statistical gauge field is inseparable phenomena accompanying the soliton creation. It is a relict of the Chern-Simons Gauss law which states the creation of a magnetic flux by particle creation. In anyon physics we interpret the physical excitations as particles with attached magnetic flux. In this sense we can interpret our result in the next way. Even the one-dimensional solitons are excitations attached with statistical magnetic field. Indeed, if we put one of the fields , say $\Phi_- = 0$, from eq.(4.15b) follows the one-dimensional (!) CS Gauss law

$$B(x) = \frac{8\pi\kappa^2}{k}|\Psi_+|^2.$$

For soliton with large amplitude $\eta = Im\lambda_0$, we can write approximately

$$B(x) = \frac{16\pi\kappa^2}{k}\eta\delta(x).$$

This relation should be compared with 2-dimensional "pro-totype" (1.36). It shows explicitly that one soliton is always attached with "magnetic" field. The line integral (one-dimensional flux)

$$\int B dx = \frac{8\pi\kappa^2}{k}\int |\Psi_+|^2 dx = \frac{16\pi\kappa^2}{k}\eta,$$

is time independent and well known first integral of NLSE. It has a simple interpretation of the rescaled soliton amplitude $Im\lambda_0$ and really is inseparable from soliton in any collisions.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Professor Loriano Bonora, for kind hospitality at the International School for Advanced Studies (SISSA/ISAS), Trieste.

He would also like to thank Professor Loriano Bonora and Professor Boris Dubrovin for useful discussions. This work was supported by SISSA contract N 5404.

References

[1] T.Appelquist,A.Chodos and P.G.O.Fruend, *Modern Kaluza-Klein Theories* Addison-Wesley Pub. (1987)

[2] M Green J Schwarz E Witten *Superstring Theory* Camb.Univ.Press (1987)

[3] N.S.Manton, Nucl.Phys. **158** 141 (1979)

[4] J.H.Schwarz, Nucl.Phys. **B 447** 137 (1995)

[5] L.Dolan, Phys.Rep. **109** 1 (1984)

[6] R S Ward Phil.Trans.Roy.Soc.London **A315** 451 (1985); M Ablowitz , S Chakravarty and L A Takhtajan , Comm.Math.Phys. **158** 289 (1993)

[7] A A Belavin and V.E.Zakharov, Phys.Lett. **B73** 53 (1978)

[8] L.D.Faddeev, Schladming lectures, 1995

[9] A.B.Zamolodchikov, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics **19** 642 (1989)

[10] F.Calogero, in *What Is Integrability?* ed. V E Zakharov Springer (1991)

[11] O.Babelon and L.Bonora, Phys.Lett.**B244** 220 (1990)

[12] V.Sokolov, private communication

[13] E.Witten, Comm.Math.Phys. **117** 353 (1988)

[14] D.Birmingham, M.Blau, M.Rakowski and G.Thompson, Phys.Rep. **209** 129 (1991)

[15] L.Baulieu, Phys.Lett. **B232** 473 (1989)

[16] E.Witten, Comm.Math.Phys. **121** 351 (1989)

[17] S.Elitzur, G.Moore, A.Schwimmer and N Seiberg , Nucl Phys **B326** 108 (1989)

[18] M.Blau and G.Thompson, Ann. Phys. **205** 130 (1991)

[19] E.D'Hoker, Phys.Lett. **B264** 101 (1991); K.Aoki and E.D'Hoker, Nucl. Phys. **387** 567 (1992)

[20] D.Cangemi, Phys.Lett. **B297** 261 (1992) ; A.Achucarro, Phys.Rev.Lett. **70** 1037 (1993) and Ref.

[21] A.D'Adda,M.Lüscher and P.Di Vecchia, Phys.Rep. **49** 239 (1979)

[22] L.Martina, O.K.Pashaev and G.Soliani, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A8**, 3241; Phys.Rev. **B48** 15 787 (1993)

[23] O.K.Pashaev, *Integrable Chern-Simons Gauge Field Theory in 2+1 Dimensions*, ICTP Report IC/95/53, hep-th /9505178, Mod.Phys.Lett. A (to be published)

[24] L.Martina, O.K.Pashaev and G.Soliani, *Topological Field Theory and Nonlinear $\sigma-$ models on Symmetric Spaces* , Lecce Univ. preprint DFUL - 1/06/95, hep-th /9506130

[25] H.Verlinde, Nucl.Phys. **B 337** 652 (1990) ; A.Bilal, V.Fock and I.Kogan, Nucl.Phys. **359** 635 (1991) ; A.Bilal, Phys.Lett. **B 267** 487 (1991)

[26] S.Orfanidis, Phys.Rev. **D21** 1513 (1980)

[27] G.Thompson, *1992 Trieste Lectures on Topological Gauge Theory and Yang- Mills Theory*, ICTP series vol.9, eds: E Gava et al, World Sci (1993)

[28] R.Jackiw, S.Y.Pi, Phys.Rev.Lett. **66** 2682 (1991); Phys.Rev. **D42** 3500 (1990)

[29] K.Pohlmeyer, Comm.Math.Phys. **46** 207 (1976); H.Eichenherr and K.Pohlmeyer, Lett.Math.Phys. **2** 181 (1978)

[30] H.Eichenherr, in *Group Theoretical Methods in Physics* Lect. Notes in Phys. **180** 91 (1983)

[31] V.E. Zakharov and L.A.Takhtajan, Teor.Math.Phys. **38** 26 (1979)

[32] V.Makhankov and O.K.Pashaev, Soviet Sci.Rev./sect.C, **9** part3 (1992)